United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|---|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/529,203 | 03/25/2005 | Horst Bauer | 268034US0PCT | 4774 |
| | 7590 09/11/200 AK, MCCLELLAND l | EXAMINER | | |
| 1940 DUKE ST | TREET | HA, JULIE | | |
| ALEXANDRIA | A, VA 22514 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 1654 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/11/2009 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/529,203 | BAUER ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| JULIE HA | 1654 | |

| | JULIE HA | 1654 | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 03 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidaveal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejection | n. | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropria | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief. | will not be entered be | cause | | | |
| (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in betom | nsideration and/or search (see NO | TE below); | | | | |
| appeal; and/or | corresponding number of finally rei | acted alaima | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>The amended claims require further search</u> | | | 33(a)) | | | |
| | • | | ` ',' | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ll be entered and an ex | xplanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,10,12-14,16-18,25-27,29,30,32-38,40</u> | 0 40 55 59 and 60 | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected. <u>1,10,12-14,10-16,23-21,29,30,32-36,40</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>50-54</u> . | <u>7-49,55-56 and 60</u> . | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | t does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowan | ce because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | |
| /Cecilia Tsang/ | /Julie Ha/ | | | | | |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1654 | Examiner, Art Unit 1654 | • | | | | |
| | | | <u></u> | | | |